The Linguistic Knowledge Builder (LKB)

Fabiola Henri

Department of English/Linguistics Program University of Kentucky fabiola.henri@uky.edu

> LINCD Session 3 February 2014

The Linguistic Knowledge Builder (LKB) is a specialized grammar engineering environment for contraint-based grammars

Specifically designed for typed feature structures (TFS)

Originally developed by Ann Copestake and later by John Carroll, Rob Malouf, and Stefan Oepen

Requires little knowledge of computers

Although LKB is an open-source that runs on different operating systems, the use of Linux is recommended

Introduction

- 1. Extensive efficiency improvements, so the system is capable of parsing reasonable length sentences with a large grammar.
- 2. Default unification is based on YADU, defined in Lascarides and Copestake (1999).
- 3. Automatic computation of greatest lower bounds in the type hierarchy.
- 4. Integration with the [incr tsdb()]6 test suite machinery (Oepen and Flickinger, 1998).
- 5. Integration with MRS semantics (Copestake et al, 1999).
- 6. Tactical generation from MRS input (relatively experimental).
- 7. Many new user interface features.

Henri (UK)

Natural language grammars are implemented in many softwares

Used for both parsing and generation

Precision grammar: requires fully explicit analyses (vs general approaches to syntax)

Language documentation

Linguistic hypothesis testing

Computer assisted language learning

Machine translation

. . .

Familiarize ourselves with the LKB platform

Investigate the implementation of constraints in morphology, syntax, and semantics within $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HPSG}}$



A tour of the LKB system

TFS

An indepth examination

Extending the grammar

The Matrix

Readings

LKB grammar files

Types and constraints (*types.tdl*)

Lexical entries (*lexicon.tdl*)

Grammar rules (*rules.tdl*)

Lexical and morphological rules (Irules.tdl and irules.tdl)

Auxiliary settings

script which loads various files in the grammar *globals.lsp* which contains global settings

A first session

Open a web-serv session via Putty (Advanced Syntax folder)
The Xming should be loaded before hand

You should find in your directory a lkb-data folder

Open emacs

Run LKB: M-x 1kb RET

An Xming window (LKB Top) should pop up! YAY!

A first session

| F | | | Lk | b Top | | |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|-------|---------|--|
| Quit | Load | View | Parse | Debug | Options | |
| | | | | | | |
| $\overline{\nabla}$ | | | | | | |

Figure: The LKB interaction window or LKB top menu

The LKB comes with a series of grammars

a set of files containing types and constraints, lexical entries, grammar rules, etc

The sample grammar: lkb-data/itfs/g8gap

Select Complete grammar from the LKB Load menu, and choose the script file from g8gap the directory

| Filter | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|]∕grammars/g8gap/* | | | | | | | |
| Directories | Files | | | | | | |
| rammars/g8gap/ ⁽³⁾ rammars/g8gap/ 7 | globals.lsp inflr.tdl lexicon.tdl Irules.tdl mrsglobals.lsp parse-nodes.tdl rules.tdl script | | | | | | |
| Selection | | | | | | | |
|]/grammars/g8gap/script | | | | | | | |
| OK Filter | Cancel Help | | | | | | |

Figure: Selecting the script file

Once a file is successfully loaded, the menu commands are all available and a type hierarchy window is displayed

Figure: Loading a grammar



Figure: Type hierarchy window



Select "Parse | Parse input \ldots " from the LKB Top menu and parse the sentence that appears in the dialogue



A tour of the LKB system

TFS

An indepth examination

Extending the grammar

The Matrix

Readings

The LKB grammar is a type system with constraints expressed as feature structures

- ${\tt ISP}$ The most general type displayed at the left of the window ${\tt *top*}$
- Some types has more than one parent (*multiple inheritance*)

To inspect the constraint on the type *ne-list* (non-empty list), select Expanded Type from the menu

- $\ensuremath{\,^{\tiny \mbox{\scriptsize NST}}}$ It has two features, FIRST and REST
- The value of FIRST is *top*: it can unify with any feature structure
- The value of REST is *list*: it can only unify with the type *list* or one of its subtypes



The entry for the type *ne-list* is found in the source file g8gap/types.tdl

```
Open the file in emacs
```

```
ne-list := *list* &
[ FIRST *top*,
    REST *list* ].
```

The syntax of the language in which the type and its constraint are defined is called *The Description Language*

The type definition obligatorily specifies the parent or parents of a type and optionally defines a constraint

- Here *list* (the parent) does not have any feature in its constraint
- But type constraints can inherit a lot of information from the parent, allowing for a compact description

Inspect a more complicated type constraint like phrase

| phrase - expanded | |
|-----------------------|------|
| Close Close All Print | |
| | |
| phrase - expanded | |
| | - 11 |
| [phrase | - 11 |
| ORTH: [*dlist* | - 11 |
| | - 11 |
| LAST: "list"] | - 11 |
| HEAD: [pos | - 11 |
| SPP: *liet* | - 11 |
| COMPS: *null* | - 11 |
| SEM: [semantics | - 11 |
| INDEX: [index | - 11 |
| INSTLOC: instloc] | - 11 |
| RELS: [*dlist* | - 11 |
| LIST: *list* | - 11 |
| LAST: *list*]] | - 11 |
| GAP: [*dlist* | - 11 |
| LIST: "list" | - 11 |
| | - 11 |
| ARGO: "list"] | - 11 |
| | 17 |
| NN | |
| | |

Figure: TFS window for phrase

```
The value of a feature in a constraint can be a TFS
phrase := sign &
[ COMPS < > ].
```

The notation <> is a shorthand for *null*

The view commands allows you to look at entities such as lexical entries

Select View from the LKB top menu and then select Word entries

Enter *dog* when prompted for a word (any entry from lexicon.tdl); OK

Identifiers can be added to provide unique lexical entries in case of multiple entries with identical spelling (dog_{-1})

The PHON feature in HPSG = ORTH in LKB

dlist = allows for concatenation of lists

Grammar rules can also be viewed by clicking on View Grammar rule

Try for instance head-specifier-rule

It is possible to shrink/expand to view parts of the structure

The mother is the TFS as a whole while the daughters are the list value of the feature ARGS



A tour of the LKB system

TFS

An indepth examination

Extending the grammar

The Matrix

Readings

Parsing sentences





Clicking on the tree provides a menu with the option Show enlarged tree

Click on the top node and choose Feature structure - Edge 11 The printed TFS is an instantiation of the head-specifier-rule

Parsing sentences

The top node = root node

The structure for the NP *the dog* is the value of the path ARGS.FIRST The structure for the verb *barks* is the value of the path ARGS.FIRST.REST

More later on how the grammar rules works cf. parse-nodes.tdl

Morphological and lexical rules

The tree has 2 V nodes (above and below barks)

This is an application of a morphological rule

- Morphological rules are used for inflectional and derivational processes with affixation
- $\ensuremath{\,^{\tiny \ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}\xspace}}$ Lexical rules for processes with no affixation

In lexicon.tdl > the entry for *bark*

In inflr.tdl> the rule for 3SG generates the inflected form *barks*

An indepth examination

Morphological and lexical rules

View > lex entry for bark You will be prompted for Lex-id> bark > bark - expanded Then choose Apply all lex rule

| Lexical rule results |
|-----------------------|
| Close Close All Print |
| bark + NON3SG-V_IRULE |
| bark + 3SG-V_IRULE |
| bark + PAST-V_IRULE |
| KIK |

Figure: Lexical rules

Clicking on the nodes will display the feature structures corresponding to the nodes

Henri (UK)

Try Parse > Batch Parse

Choose test.items when prompted to choose a file

The test suite file is basically the coverage of the grammar

Enter a name, for e.g test.results as the output file; the system will parse all the sentences in the file

Open your test.results file in emacs

Batch Parse

The data in your test.results file will show:

- 1. The sentence itself
- 2. The number of the sentence
- 3. The number of parses
- 4. the number of passive edges (a passive edge is a phrase that the system constructs while attemting to parse a sentence)

In the test suite file, ungrammatical sentences are marked with an asterisk but this is stripped out by the system when it is parsed

Total parsing time is also reported for all sentences

An indepth examination

Semantic Representation

Parse The dog chased the cat

Choose Indexed MRS > Semantic representation of the sentence MRS (Minimal Recursion Semantics) is a semantic representation language that can be converted into for eg. predicate calculus



Figure: MRS representation

Semantic Representation

The semantic is in fact also a TFS; it is the value of SEMANTICS in the parsed sentence

The option Indexed MRS more readable

The option MRS has a representation closer to a TFS

Other options: Prolog MRS > Suitable for Prolog systems

Other options: Scoped ${\tt MRS} > {\sf Requires}$ a full representation of quantifiers

An indepth examination

Semantic Representation



Figure: TFS MRS representation

Henri (UK)

Generating Sentences

The LKB allows generation of grammatical sentences

Click and choose the option Generate on the tree

It is also possible to generate form the MRS representation

- Input version of the sentences and other inflected forms of that same sentence
- Try to parse an ambiguous sentence
 - The dog chased the cat near the aardvark
 - The grammar can sometimes generate ungrammatical sentences (overgeneration)



A tour of the LKB system

TFS

An indepth examination

Extending the grammar

The Matrix

Readings

Adding a lexical entry

Open the file lexicon.tdl

Suppose you want to add another noun: squirrel

Change the orthography and value of the semantic feature

the "" around the values specify that these are proper values

Save the file, and then select Load followed by Reload grammar

Try to parse The cat chased the squirrel

Adding a type with a constraint description

Consider pair nouns like scissors, binoculars, pants, trousers

They always show plural agreement

Precision grammar: Attributing them the type noun-lxm would predict that they would have both singular and plural forms

Make a new type which says that the number agreement is always plural

Adding a type with a constraint description

Open types.tdl > look for the type description noun-lxm

```
Add a new type pair-noun-lxm that would inherit from noun-lxm that specifies a vlaue pl for the feature HEAD.NUMAGR pair-noun-lxm := noun-lxm & [ HEAD [ NUMAGR pl ]].
```

Save and reload grammar > Check the type hierarchy!

```
Add a new entry to lexicon.tdl
scissor := pair-noun-lxm &
[ ORTH.LIST.FIRST "scissor",
   SEM.RELS.LIST.FIRST.PRED "scissor_rel" ].
```

try to parse new sentences and generate new ones!

Outline

A tour of the LKB system

TFS

An indepth examination

Extending the grammar

The Matrix

Readings

Grammar Customization

The LinGO Grammar Matrix aloow you to build up an implemented grammar for a language of your choice

Developed by Emily Bender et al. at the University of Washington

Provides a starter grammar with a language-independent core and customized support (your input)

http://www.delph-in.net/matrix/customize/matrix.cgi

Grammar Customization: tdl files

matrix.tdl: Supertypes for lex-rules, which handle the copying up
of everything youre not changing

my_language.tdl: Position classes and lex rule types defined through the customization system; features for inside INFLECTED

lrules.tdl: Instances for non-spelling-changing lex rules (zero morphemes)

irules.tdl: Instances for spelling-changing lex rules

Readings

- Copestake, A. (2002). Implementing Typed Feature Structure Grammars. CSLI lecture notes. C S L I Publications/Center for the Study of Language & Information.
- Pollard, C. and Sag, I. (1994). Head-Driven Phrase Structure Grammar. Studies in Contemporary Linguistics. University of Chicago Press.
- Sag, I. A., Wasow, T., and Bender, E. (2003). Syntactic Theory: A Formal Introduction. Stanford: Center for the Study of Language and Information, 2nd edn.